

ORIGINAL IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FILED
OCT / 6 2013
lag
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
By _____
Deputy

AMERICAN IMAGING SERVICES, INC.,

卷之三

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.: 3:09-CV-0733-M

V.

AUTODESK, INC.,

卷之三

Defendant.

JURY NOTE NO. 2
(Jury Foreperson, please insert Note No.)

TO JUDGE LYNN:

In regard to Question 1(A), do we answer this question literally as written, "has American Imaging proven by a preponderance of the evidence the AutoCAD 2011 is capable of performing every step of the following claims?"

Or should we preface the above question with "In regard to DIRECT INFRINGEMENT?"
Questions 1 (A) and 1 (B) relate to direct infringement.

Wieden

415 10/16/13

REDACTED